

HIGHWAYS REPORT - Fault Inventory January 2022 Blue shows progress for several month and purple for new report this month

LOCATION	P/code	Fault	Reference	CCC Status	Notes
Back Lane sign	LA8 OJ	missing	EI 4163	Reported 16 July	Submitted 2019, Chased up with Cllrs R Bingham/ D Rathbone
Pavements between aqueduct and Sedgwick House		Manhole cover Pavement's defect	EI 4176		Submitted BH February 2019 after 2 residents Updated 8/9 problem is pavement fills with water in heavy rainfall. Re report to highways AH
Castle View Pavements	LA8 OJ	Repair pavements	EI 4150		Re-submitted March 2019 Update 3/8 CCC added to financial list -DR to check date report at Oct Meeting
Sign – Wellheads Lane		Better position	EI 4185		Enquiry Complete Highways agreeing with landowner erecting site No progress- still with HK since 11/11/21
Wharfe Lane		No Slow Sign	EI 4194	Reported 16 July	Submitted September 2019-CRASH committee/PC Jackson investigating signage and road markings to improve safety Jan 2021
Back Lane Speeding Traffic		Speed of Traffic	EI 4191		Enquiry Complete- log 15/10/20 -speed monitors Nov 21- await update Still awaiting outcome of speed monitoring- the road through Sedgwick was closed for part of the speed monitoring exercise – reported to HK 19/11
Nanny Pie Lane from Brettagtholt Roundabout		Sign to Sedgwick hit	EI 4180	Reported 16 July	Submitted 14/9/2020- under construction 30/9/ No progress- still with HK since 11/21
Sign fallen of Bridge on the River Kent		Storm Damage	EI 33724	Reported Dec 21 Cllr Holmes	Assigned to Highways who have removed plaque and installed temporary barrier but unable to track existing faults
Potholes Riverside		Potholes – no previous repair	L12415	Reported December	Assigned but unable to track existing faults
Beware pedestrian signage		Not on all roads		Reported November and to HK	No problem found

To be discussed- 1) Inability to track action makes reporting system useless – How do we track faults. 2) Speed monitoring update and next steps
3) Pedestrian signage – re-report. 4) What should we do about issues that have gone on long term hold?
(Wharfelaneljunction/pavementsx2/resurfacingRainesHall) and how can we track reported faults (potholes riverside/force bridge name/aqueduct sign/village sign?)

Updated 4 January 22 – S Roberts

SEDGWICK PARISH COUNCIL CANAL REPORT

Canal Inspection January 10th 2022

There are no safety issues to report. It is very wintry but the birdlife is still active including a gorgeous male bullfinch.

Vegetation: The trees that were blown over during Storm Arwen have been removed and our contractor is happy that other trees are ok. The wind was from an unusual direction, and we were lucky to have only lost a few- local damage exceeds the storm in the early 2000s. Other trees are obviously dormant. I have been reading more about the progression of Ash Die Back and it seems inevitable that the remaining 100+ ash trees in our section will succumb and need removing - probably in the next 10-36 months. Councils are now removing trees only 50% effected to reduce liability. This will mean we need to fund the cost of £7-10,000 fairly soon. We have budgeted £2,000 for all maintenance over the next two years so will need the contingency fund to enable us to respond when they need felling. Next inspection Sept 2022. We also need to factor in the threat to the stand of Elm trees on Back Lane. The WI were successful in their bid for 100 saplings from the Woodland Trust and they will arrive in March - JP has agreed to plant them as soon as they arrive and will provide a quote.

Bulbs are just beginning to show.

Benches: The two older benches and the Stoker Seat are safe.

Paths: The towpath and footpaths are muddy because of the recent poor weather. The warning signs for the steep path are clearly visible. JP is providing a quote to scrape mud/leaf mould off and re- top dress in the muddiest sections between the easy path-Stoker Seat.

Boundaries, Walls and Fences: all look sound – even where the tree fell.

Usage: The path has been busy over the holiday period. The dog poo fairies continue to clean up. There were two bits of litter. No cycling signs are all OK.

LCRP: The aqueduct path is in increasingly poor condition and needs reporting again and the posts of the broken information board need removing – can we please remove our dog fouling sign before it is removed. It is a shame that Angela Parkinson-Green has not fulfilled expectations and visited as the aqueduct is deteriorating – the steps are very slippery. I am surprised that CRT are not more concerned about the deterioration of the structure and paths. No decision yet on the planning application – Historic England have said they do not need to be consulted.

